

Local Quantum Error Correcting Codes

Elia Portnoy

December 4, 2024

The need for error correcting codes

Suppose we would like to send a message of several $\{0, 1\}$ bits through a noisy channel.

The need for error correcting codes

Suppose we would like to send a message of several $\{0, 1\}$ bits through a noisy channel.

As the message passes through the channel some bits get changed. We call these bit flip errors.

The need for error correcting codes

Suppose we would like to send a message of several $\{0, 1\}$ bits through a noisy channel.

As the message passes through the channel some bits get changed. We call these bit flip errors.

Solution: Fix a set of allowable messages, called code words, ahead of time. The code word closest to the received message should be the original message if not too many bit flips occurred.

Classical linear codes

Let C_0, C_1 be \mathbb{F}_2 vector spaces with a distinguished set of basis representatives.

Let H_1 be a linear map between them.

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} C_0$$

A message = some vector $w \in C_1$.

A bit of information = a coordinate / basis vector in C_1 .

Codewords = vectors in the subspace $\ker(H_1)$

Classical linear codes

Let C_0, C_1 be \mathbb{F}_2 vector spaces with a distinguished set of basis representatives.

Let H_1 be a linear map between them.

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} C_0$$

A message = some vector $w \in C_1$.

A bit of information = a coordinate / basis vector in C_1 .

Codewords = vectors in the subspace $\ker(H_1)$

Distance of \mathcal{C} is,

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{|w| : w \in \ker(H_1)\}$$

where $|w|$ is Hamming weight of w .

This code can correct $< \text{dist}(\mathcal{C})/2$ bit flip errors.

Representation of quantum error correcting codes

A quantum CSS code \mathcal{C} is represented by a 3-term chain complex of \mathbb{F}_2 vector spaces, with a distinguished set of basis representatives.

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &\xrightarrow{H_2^T} C_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} C_0 \\ H_1 \circ H_2^T &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

A qubit = basis vector of C_1 .

A logical qubit = basis vector in $\ker(H_1)/\text{Im}(H_2^T)$

Dimension of the space of codewords grows with the number of logical qubits.

Representation of quantum error correcting codes

A quantum CSS code \mathcal{C} is represented by a 3-term chain complex of \mathbb{F}_2 vector spaces, with a distinguished set of basis representatives.

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{H_2^T} C_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} C_0$$
$$H_1 \circ H_2^T = 0$$

A qubit = basis vector of C_1 .

A logical qubit = basis vector in $\ker(H_1)/\text{Im}(H_2^T)$

Dimension of the space of codewords grows with the number of logical qubits.

Distance of \mathcal{C} is,

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{|w| : w \in \ker(H_1)/\text{Im}(H_2^T) \text{ or } w \in \ker(H_2)/\text{Im}(H_1^T)\}$$

where $|w|$ is Hamming weight of w .

Connection to quantum physics

A qubit is 2-state quantum system, given by a unit vector in \mathbb{C}^2 . Possible states of n qubit system lies in $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$.

Connection to quantum physics

A qubit is 2-state quantum system, given by a unit vector in \mathbb{C}^2 . Possible states of n qubit system lies in $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$.

Errors are unitary operators acting on our system.

Connection to quantum physics

A qubit is 2-state quantum system, given by a unit vector in \mathbb{C}^2 . Possible states of n qubit system lies in $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$.

Errors are unitary operators acting on our system.

A stabilizer code \mathcal{C} is a subspace of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, given as the common (+1)-eigenspace of a set of a set S of commuting Pauli operators.

Connection to quantum physics

A qubit is 2-state quantum system, given by a unit vector in \mathbb{C}^2 . Possible states of n qubit system lies in $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$.

Errors are unitary operators acting on our system.

A stabilizer code \mathcal{C} is a subspace of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, given as the common (+1)-eigenspace of a set of a set S of commuting Pauli operators.

Each row of H_2 corresponds to X -type Pauli operator and each row of H_1 corresponds to Pauli Z -type operator in S . Commutativity is given by the chain complex condition. The Stabilizer code is

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{span}\left(\sum_{y \in \text{Im}(H_2^T)} |z + y\rangle : z \in \text{Ker}(H_1) \right)$$

Connection to quantum physics

A qubit is 2-state quantum system, given by a unit vector in \mathbb{C}^2 . Possible states of n qubit system lies in $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$.

Errors are unitary operators acting on our system.

A stabilizer code \mathcal{C} is a subspace of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, given as the common (+1)-eigenspace of a set of a set S of commuting Pauli operators.

Each row of H_2 corresponds to X -type Pauli operator and each row of H_1 corresponds to Pauli Z -type operator in S . Commutativity is given by the chain complex condition. The Stabilizer code is

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{span}\left(\sum_{y \in \text{Im}(H_2^T)} |z + y\rangle : z \in \text{Ker}(H_1) \right)$$

Measuring X -type operator detects phase flip errors. Applying Hadamard gate and measuring Z -type operator detects bit flip errors.

Panteleev-Kalachev, Leverrier-Zemor '22

For $V \rightarrow \infty$, there is a code \mathcal{C} with V qubits so that H_1, H_2 are sparse matrices, there are V logical qubits, and

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}) \gtrsim V$$

Panteleev-Kalachev, Leverrier-Zemor '22

For $V \rightarrow \infty$, there is a code \mathcal{C} with V qubits so that H_1, H_2 are sparse matrices, there are V logical qubits, and

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}) \gtrsim V$$

Difficult construction that combines expander graphs with symmetries and some special random classical codes. Also uses some analogies from topology.

Additional physical restrictions

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{H_2^T} C_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} C_0$$

Qubits are in some state, $w \in C_1$. Over time errors from noise change this state to another state $w' \in C_1$.

Additional physical restrictions

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{H_2^T} C_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} C_0$$

Qubits are in some state, $w \in C_1$. Over time errors from noise change this state to another state $w' \in C_1$.

To recover the original w we need to perform the operations $H_1(w')$ and $H_2(w')$.

A **check** is a set of qubits / basis vectors of C_1 which correspond to the non-zero entries of a single row of H_1 or H_2 .

Additional physical restrictions

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{H_2^T} C_1 \xrightarrow{H_1} C_0$$

Qubits are in some state, $w \in C_1$. Over time errors from noise change this state to another state $w' \in C_1$.

To recover the original w we need to perform the operations $H_1(w')$ and $H_2(w')$.

A **check** is a set of qubits / basis vectors of C_1 which correspond to the non-zero entries of a single row of H_1 or H_2 .

In a quantum computer, qubits are positioned on lattice points of a 3-dimensional lattice.

A CSS code \mathcal{C} is called **local** if there is an injective map,

$$f : \{\text{qubits}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$$

and the image of the qubits in any check lies in some ball of radius $O(1)$.

Main theorem

Main theorem

For $V \rightarrow \infty$, there is a local code \mathcal{C} with V qubits, $\gtrsim V^{1/3}$ logical qubits, and with

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}) \gtrsim V^{2/3}$$

Main theorem

Main theorem

For $V \rightarrow \infty$, there is a local code \mathcal{C} with V qubits, $\gtrsim V^{1/3}$ logical qubits, and with

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{C}) \gtrsim V^{2/3}$$

The distance is sharp up to a constant by Bravyi-Terhal '08.

Much easier to understand being local from a geometric perspective.

Geometry of manifolds

Let M be a triangulated manifold.

The space of i -chains: $C_i(M) = \mathbb{F}_2^{\{\text{i-simplices of } M\}}$

Boundary maps: $\partial_i : C_i(M) \rightarrow C_{i-1}(M)$ are given by the triangulation.

Geometry of manifolds

Let M be a triangulated manifold.

The space of i -chains: $C_i(M) = \mathbb{F}_2^{\{\text{i-simplices of } M\}}$

Boundary maps: $\partial_i : C_i(M) \rightarrow C_{i-1}(M)$ are given by the triangulation.

Example (Kitaev's toric code):

Let T be a standard $2d$ -dimensional torus with V simplices.

We get a CSS code from it:

$$C_{d+1}(T) \xrightarrow{H_2^T = \partial_{d+1}} C_d(T) \xrightarrow{H_1 = \partial_d} C_{d-1}(T)$$

Geometry of manifolds

The **k-homology group** of M is

$$H_k(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = \ker(\partial_k) / \text{Im}(\partial_{k+1})$$

The **k-systole** of M is

$$\text{sys}_k(M) = \min\{|w| : w \in \ker(\partial_k) / \text{Im}(\partial_{k+1})\}$$

k -homology is the space of k -dimensional holes in M .

k -systole is the size of the smallest k -dimensional hole in M .

The **k-homology group** of M is

$$H_k(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = \ker(\partial_k) / \text{Im}(\partial_{k+1})$$

The **k-systole** of M is

$$\text{sys}_k(M) = \min\{|w| : w \in \ker(\partial_k) / \text{Im}(\partial_{k+1})\}$$

k -homology is the space of k -dimensional holes in M .

k -systole is the size of the smallest k -dimensional hole in M .

Example: T is standard $2d$ -dimensional torus with V simplices. Then,

$$H_d(T; \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2^{\binom{2d}{d}}$$

$$\text{sys}_d(T) \approx V^{1/2}$$

The **k-homology group** of M is

$$H_k(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = \ker(\partial_k) / \text{Im}(\partial_{k+1})$$

The **k-systole** of M is

$$\text{sys}_k(M) = \min\{|w| : w \in \ker(\partial_k) / \text{Im}(\partial_{k+1})\}$$

k -homology is the space of k -dimensional holes in M .

k -systole is the size of the smallest k -dimensional hole in M .

Example: T is standard $2d$ -dimensional torus with V simplices. Then,

$$H_d(T; \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2^{\binom{2d}{d}}$$

$$\text{sys}_d(T) \approx V^{1/2}$$

Hard (but solved) problem in systolic geometry:

Is there a $2d$ -manifold of size V with $\text{sys}_d(M) \gg V^{1/2}$?

A map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is **coarse** if the image of each simplex has diameter $O(1)$ and the pre-image of each unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 intersects $O(1)$ simplices in M .

A map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is **coarse** if the image of each simplex has diameter $O(1)$ and the pre-image of each unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 intersects $O(1)$ simplices in M .

Example: T is standard $2d$ -dimensional torus with V simplices.
For $V \rightarrow \infty$, there is a coarse map $F : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ if and only if $d = 1$.

A map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is **coarse** if the image of each simplex has diameter $O(1)$ and the pre-image of each unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 intersects $O(1)$ simplices in M .

Example: T is standard $2d$ -dimensional torus with V simplices.
For $V \rightarrow \infty$, there is a coarse map $F : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ if and only if $d = 1$.

Idea: A complicated manifold admits a coarse map to \mathbb{R}^3 if it looks 2-dimensional.

Correspondence between codes and manifolds

CSS code \mathcal{C}	$2d$ -dimensional triangulated manifold M
qubit	d -simplex in M
logical qubit	basis element in d dimensional homology $H_d(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$
$dist(\mathcal{C})$	$sys_d(M)$
\mathcal{C} is local	there is a coarse map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

Correspondence between codes and manifolds

CSS code \mathcal{C}	$2d$ -dimensional triangulated manifold M
qubit	d -simplex in M
logical qubit	basis element in d dimensional homology $H_d(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$
$dist(\mathcal{C})$	$sys_d(M)$
\mathcal{C} is local	there is a coarse map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

$2d$ -dimensional manifold $M \rightarrow$ CSS code \mathcal{C} :

$$C_{d+1}(M) \xrightarrow{H_2^T = \partial_{d+1}} C_d(M) \xrightarrow{H_1 = \partial_d} C_{d-1}(M)$$

Correspondence between codes and manifolds

CSS code \mathcal{C}	$2d$ -dimensional triangulated manifold M
qubit	d -simplex in M
logical qubit	basis element in d dimensional homology $H_d(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$
$dist(\mathcal{C})$	$sys_d(M)$
\mathcal{C} is local	there is a coarse map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

$2d$ -dimensional manifold $M \rightarrow$ CSS code \mathcal{C} :

$$C_{d+1}(M) \xrightarrow{H_2^T = \partial_{d+1}} C_d(M) \xrightarrow{H_1 = \partial_d} C_{d-1}(M)$$

CSS code $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow$ Manifold: Result of Freedman-Hastings '20.

Not a natural construction. Requires $d \geq 6$.

Also requires a technical condition on the CSS code, that is satisfied by most important codes we know.

Sketch of proof of main theorem

Goal: construct a local code so that distance $\gtrsim (\# \text{ qubits})^{2/3}$.

Sketch of proof of main theorem

Goal: construct a local code so that distance $\gtrsim (\# \text{ qubits})^{2/3}$.

Step 1: Start with PK,LZ code which has V qubits and distance $\approx V$.

Apply FH construction to get a $2d$ -dimensional triangulated manifold M with V simplices and $\text{sys}_d(M) \approx V$.

Sketch of proof of main theorem

Goal: construct a local code so that distance $\gtrsim (\# \text{ qubits})^{2/3}$.

Step 1: Start with PK,LZ code which has V qubits and distance $\approx V$.

Apply FH construction to get a $2d$ -dimensional triangulated manifold M with V simplices and $\text{sys}_d(M) \approx V$.

Step 2: Analysis of the FH construction shows that there is a 2-dimensional simplicial complex X which approximates M .

Given by a coarse map

$$G : M \rightarrow X$$

The pre-image of each simplex in X intersects $O(1)$ simplices in M and the image of each simplex intersects $O(1)$ simplices in X .

d -cycles which represent the d systole in M roughly get mapped to 1-cycles in X .

Sketch of proof of main theorem

Step 3: Generalizing a result of Kolmogorov and Barzdin '93, we can construct a map

$$I : X \rightarrow B^3(V)$$

so that the pre-image of each unit ball intersects $O(1)$ simplices in X . However, I stretches simplices of X to diameter $\approx V$ and area $\approx V^2$.

Sketch of proof of main theorem

Step 3: Generalizing a result of Kolmogorov and Barzdin '93, we can construct a map

$$I : X \rightarrow B^3(V)$$

so that the pre-image of each unit ball intersects $O(1)$ simplices in X . However, I stretches simplices of X to diameter $\approx V$ and area $\approx V^2$.

Step 4: Subdivide X to \tilde{X} and M to \tilde{M} so that

$$F = I \circ G : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow B^3(V)$$

is coarse. Most simplices in M get subdivided into $\approx V^2$ simplices.

As a result \tilde{M} has $\approx V^3$ simplices and $\text{sys}_d(\tilde{M}) \geq V^2$

Sketch of proof of main theorem

Step 3: Generalizing a result of Kolmogorov and Barzdin '93, we can construct a map

$$I : X \rightarrow B^3(V)$$

so that the pre-image of each unit ball intersects $O(1)$ simplices in X . However, I stretches simplices of X to diameter $\approx V$ and area $\approx V^2$.

Step 4: Subdivide X to \tilde{X} and M to \tilde{M} so that

$$F = I \circ G : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow B^3(V)$$

is coarse. Most simplices in M get subdivided into $\approx V^2$ simplices.

As a result \tilde{M} has $\approx V^3$ simplices and $\text{sys}_d(\tilde{M}) \geq V^2$

Step 5: Convert \tilde{M} to a new code with V^3 qubits and distance V^2 .

The Kolmogorov-Barzdin theorem

Kolmogorov-Barzdin '93

Let Γ be a graph with V vertices and degree $O(1)$.

Let Λ be a unit grid in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then there is an injective map

$$I : \Gamma \rightarrow B^3(O(1)V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$$

so that I maps vertices of Γ to vertices of Λ .

The Kolmogorov-Barzdin theorem

Kolmogorov-Barzdin '93

Let Γ be a graph with V vertices and degree $O(1)$.

Let Λ be a unit grid in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then there is an injective map

$$I : \Gamma \rightarrow B^3(O(1)V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$$

so that I maps vertices of Γ to vertices of Λ .

Proof Idea: Let $H_i = (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{i\}) \cap B^3(V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$.

The Kolmogorov-Barzdin theorem

Kolmogorov-Barzdin '93

Let Γ be a graph with V vertices and degree $O(1)$.

Let Λ be a unit grid in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then there is an injective map

$$I : \Gamma \rightarrow B^3(O(1)V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$$

so that I maps vertices of Γ to vertices of Λ .

Proof Idea: Let $H_i = (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{i\}) \cap B^3(V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$.

1) Map the vertices of Γ injectively to vertices of H_0 .

The Kolmogorov-Barzdin theorem

Kolmogorov-Barzdin '93

Let Γ be a graph with V vertices and degree $O(1)$.

Let Λ be a unit grid in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then there is an injective map

$$I : \Gamma \rightarrow B^3(O(1)V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$$

so that I maps vertices of Γ to vertices of Λ .

Proof Idea: Let $H_i = (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{i\}) \cap B^3(V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$.

1) Map the vertices of Γ injectively to vertices of H_0 .

2) For each edge $e \in \Gamma$, associate a label $L(e)$ between 1 and $O(1)V^{1/2}$, so that there are at most $O(1)$ vertices in a line of Λ adjacent to edges with common label.

The Kolmogorov-Barzdin theorem

Kolmogorov-Barzdin '93

Let Γ be a graph with V vertices and degree $O(1)$.

Let Λ be a unit grid in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then there is an injective map

$$I : \Gamma \rightarrow B^3(O(1)V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$$

so that I maps vertices of Γ to vertices of Λ .

Proof Idea: Let $H_i = (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{i\}) \cap B^3(V^{1/2}) \cap \Lambda$.

- 1) Map the vertices of Γ injectively to vertices of H_0 .
- 2) For each edge $e \in \Gamma$, associate a label $L(e)$ between 1 and $O(1)V^{1/2}$, so that there are at most $O(1)$ vertices in a line of Λ adjacent to edges with common label.
- 3) Let $I(e)$ be composed of 2 segments going from $I(\partial e) \subset H_0$ to $H_{L(e)}$, and a path inside $H_{L(e)}$ connecting their endpoints.

A CCZ gate on the encoded qubits roughly corresponds to a non-trivial intersection of three homology classes in M .

A CCZ gate on the encoded qubits roughly corresponds to a non-trivial intersection of three homology classes in M .

Open question: Is there $3d$ -manifold M with V simplices so that

- 1 $sys_{2d}(M) \gg V^{2/3}$
- 2 There are three $2d$ -homology classes which have a non-trivial mutual intersection in M

Thank you !